

TOWN COUNCIL MEETING

JUNE 13, 1995

6:30 P.M.

AGENDA

****NOTE EXECUTIVE SESSIONS AT BEGINNING OF MEETING (APROX. 20 MIN.)****

1. Roll Call and Pledge of Allegiance
2. Executive Session Pursuant to Section 1-18a(e)(5) and 1-19(b)(9) of the CT. General Statutes with Regards to Strategy and Negotiations with Respect to Collective Bargaining and Section 1-18a(e)(2) of the CT. General Statutes with Respect to Pending Litigation
 - Cafeteria Employees Contract
 - Town of Wallingford v. Key America of Southern New England, Inc., et al.
3. Consider and Approve Local 1303 - Cafeteria Board of Education Contract - Personnel
4. Consider and Approve a Transfer of Funds in the Amount of \$662 from Property/Casualty Insurance - Education Acct. #001-8040-800-8260 to Part-Time Wages Acct. #001-1620-100-1350 - Personnel
5. Consider and Approve a Resolution Establishing the Board of Education as the Building Committee for the VoAg Greenhouse, Storage Building and Equipment Project - Superintendent of Schools
6. Report Out from the Resource Recovery Project Coordinator on the Subject of Solid Waste Disposal
7. Consider and Approve a Transfer of Funds in the Amount of \$65.00 from Clerk's Wages Acct. #012-9000-100-1300 to Overtime Acct. #012-9000-100-1400 - Youth Service Bureau
8. Consider and Approve a Transfer of Funds in the Amount of \$140 from Seminars & Dues Acct. #001-7011-700-7990 to Transportation Environmental Planner Acct. #001-7011-300-3200 - Environ. Planner
9. Consider and Approve a Transfer of Funds in the Amount of \$7,000 from Overtime-Snow Acct. #001-5040-100-1400 and \$5,000 from Wages - Central Garage Acct. #001-5050-100-1300 for a Total of \$12,000 to Waste Disposal & Composting Fees Acct. #001-5060-600-6540 - Public Works Department
10. Consider and Approve a Transfer of Funds in the Amount of \$6,250 from Sand Acct. #001-5040-400-4580 to Street Lighting Acct. #001-5080-600-6460 - Public Works Department
11. Consider and Approve a Transfer of Funds in the Amount of \$499 from Paramedic Bonus Acct. #2030-100-1680 to Acting Officer Pay Acct. #2030-100-1360 - Dept. of Fire Services

(OVER)

12. Consider and Approve a Transfer of Funds in the Amount of \$440 from Building Supplies Acct. #2030-400-4260 to Laundry Acct. #2030-400-4280 - Dept. of Fire Services
13. Consider and Approve a Transfer of Funds in the Amount of \$240 from Building Supplies Acct. #2030-400-4260 to Ambulance Supplies Acct. #2030-400-4700 - Dept. of Fire Services
14. Consider and Approve a Transfer of Funds in the Amount of \$215 from State Meetings Acct. #2030-600-6550 to Maintenance of Equipment Acct. #2030-500-5200 - Dept. of Fire Services
15. Consider and Approve a Transfer of Funds in the Amount of \$4,071 from Continuous Duty Pay Acct. #2030-100-1450 to Overtime Acct. #2030-100-1400 - Dept. of Fire Services
16. Consider and Approve a Transfer of Funds in the Amount of \$2,800 from Maintenance of Building Acct. #2030-500-5100 to Telephone Acct. #2030-200-2000 - Dept. of Fire Services
17. Consider and Approve a Transfer of Funds in the Amount of \$1,000 from Self-Insurance Claims Acct. #001-8040-800-8280 to Office Supplies Acct. #001-1320-400-4000 - Department of Law
18. Consider and Approve an Appropriation of Funds in the Amount of \$4,975 Increasing Interest Income Acct. #419-1 and Increasing Interest Expense Customers Deposits Acct. #431 - Electric Division
19. Consider and Approve a Transfer of Funds in the Amount of \$372,445.00 from Purchased Power Acct. #555 to Generation Study Expense Acct. #426-1 (New Account) - Electric Division
20. SET A PUBLIC HEARING for June 27, 1995 at 7:45 P.M. to Approve a List of Municipal Projects to be Submitted to the State of Connecticut Under the Neighborhood Assistance Program - Program Planner
21. Consider and Approve Merit Increases (5) Approved by the Mayor
22. Note for the Record Mayoral Transfers Approved to Date
23. Note for the Record Anniversary Increases Approved by the Mayor
24. Consider and Approve Tax Refunds (#381-388) in the Amount of \$1,898.05 - Tax Collector
25. Consider and Approve a Transfer of Funds in the Amount of \$164,715.10 from the Grand List to the Suspense Tax Book to Comply with State Statute 12-165 - Tax Collector
26. Approve and Accept the Minutes of the May 9, 1995 Town Council Meeting
27. Approve and Accept the Minutes of the May 23, 1995 Town Council Meeting

TOWN COUNCIL MEETING

JUNE 13, 1995

6:30 P.M.

SUMMARY

<u>Agenda Item</u>	<u>Page No.</u>
2. Executive Session - 1-18a(e)(5) and 1-19(b)(9) with Regards to Strategy and Negotiations With Respect to Collective Bargaining and Section 1-18a(e)(2) with Respect to Pending Litigation -Cafeteria Employees Contract -Town of Wallingford v. Key America of Southern New England, Inc., et al	1
3. Approve Local 1303 - Cafeteria Bd. of Education Contract - Personnel	1-2
4. Approve a Transfer of \$662 to Part-Time Wages Acct. - Personnel	2
5. Approve a Resolution Establishing the Board of Education as the Building Committee for the VoAg Greenhouse, Storage Building and Equipment Project	4-5
6. Report Out from the Resource Recovery Project Coordinator on the Subject of Solid Waste Disposal	5-12
7. Approve a Transfer of \$65.00 to Overtime Acct. - Youth Service Bureau	13-14
PUBLIC QUESTION AND ANSWER PERIOD - Water Shut Off Problem - S. Whittlesey Ave.; Request for Final Figures for Town's Share of State Aid	12-13
8. Withdrawn	
9. Approve a Transfer Totalling \$12,000 to Waste Disposal and Composting Fees Acct. - Public Works	14
10. Approve a Transfer of \$6,250 to Street Lighting Acct. - Public Works	14
11. Approve a Transfer of \$499 to Acting Officer Pay Acct. - Fire Dept.	13
12. Approve a Transfer of \$440 to Laundry Acct. - Fire Dept.	13
13. Approve a Transfer of \$240 to Ambulance Supplies Acct. - Fire Dept.	13
14. Approve a Transfer of \$215 to Maintenance of Equipment Acct. - Fire Dept.	13

<u>Agenda Item</u>	<u>Page No.</u>
15. Approve a Transfer of \$4,071 to Overtime Acct. - Fire Dept.	13
16. Approve a Transfer of \$2,800 to Telephone Acct. - Fire Dept.	13
17. Approve a Transfer of \$1,000 to Office Supplies Acct. - Department of Law	13
18. Approve an Appropriation of \$4,975 Increasing Interest Income Acct. and Interest Expense Customers Deposits Acct. - Electric Division	17
19. Approve a Transfer of \$372,445. to Generation Study Expense Acct. (New Acct.) - Electric Division	17-21
20. SET A PUBLIC HEARING for 6/27/95 at 7:45 P.M. to Approve a List of Municipal Projects to be Submitted to the State Under the Neighborhood Assistance Program - Program Planner	21
21. Approve Merit Increases (5) Approved by the Mayor	21
22. Note for the Record Mayoral Transfers Approved to Date	13
23. Note for the Record Anniversary Increases Approved by the Mayor	13
24. Approve Tax Refunds (#381-388) in the Amount of \$1,898.05 - Tax Collector	2
25. Approve a Transfer of \$164,715.10 from the Grand List to the Suspense Tax Book to Comply with State Statute 12-165 - Tax Collector	2-3
26. Approve and Accept the Minutes of the 5/9/95 Town Council Meeting	21
27. Approve and Accept the Minutes of the 5/23/95 Town Council Meeting	21
<u>WAIVER OF RULE V</u>	
Approve a Transfer of \$2,700 to Maintenance of Building Acct. - Public Works	15
Approve a Transfer of \$1,700 to Personal Computer Acct. - Engineering Dept.	16

TOWN COUNCIL MEETING

JUNE 13, 1995

6:30 P.M.

The following is a record of motions made and acted upon at a regular meeting of the Wallingford Town Council held on Tuesday, June 13, 1995 in the Robert Earley Auditorium of the Wallingford Town Hall and called to Order by Chairman Thomas D. Solinsky at 6:35 P.M. All Councilors answered present to the Roll called by Town Clerk Kathryn J. Wall with the exception of Councilor Gouveia who arrived at 7:24 P.M. due to a previous commitment. Mayor William W. Dickinson, Jr. arrived at 8:09 P.M. due to his attendance at a D.A.R.E. program. Town Attorney Janis M. Small and Comptroller Thomas A. Myers were also present.

The Pledge of Allegiance was given to the Flag.

ITEM #2 Executive Session Pursuant to Section 1-18a(e)(5) and 1-19(b)(9) of the CT. General Statutes with Regards to Strategy and Negotiations with Respect to Collective Bargaining and Section 1-18a(e)(2) of the CT. General Statutes with Respect to Pending Litigation

- Cafeteria Employees Contract
- Town of Wallingford v. Key America of Southern New England, Inc., et al.

Motion was made by Mrs. Duryea to Enter Into Executive Session, seconded by Mr. Knight.

VOTE: Gouveia was absent; all others, aye; motion duly carried.

Present in the first Executive Session were all Town Councilors (with the exception of Mr. Gouveia), Dale Wilson, Asst. Superintendent of Personnel and Thomas Sharkey, Acting Personnel Director.

Messrs Sharkey and Wilson exited for the second executive session while Attorney Janis Small and Norm Rosow, Tax Collector entered.

Motion was made by Mrs. Duryea to Exit the Executive Session, seconded by Mr. Killen.

VOTE: Gouveia was absent; all others, aye; motion duly carried.

ITEM #3 Consider and Approve Local 1303 - Cafeteria Board of Education Contract - Personnel

Motion was made by Mrs. Duryea, seconded by Mr. Rys.

Thomas Sharkey, Acting Personnel Director explained that the wage increases for the contract period which begins September 1, 1995 and continues through August 31, 1998 are 2.85%, 2.85%, 2.9% and 2.9%, respectively.

Dale Wilson, Assistant Superintendent of Personnel explained that with the acceptance of this contract, some givebacks will be gained for the Town.

June 13, 1995

The following changes occurred in the contract:

Clothing Allowance - Part-Time Employees will receive an additional \$25.00.

Longevity Bonus - An additional \$25.00 will be given across the board.

Promotions - Part-Time employees promoted to full-time positions shall be placed on probation for the new position for a total of five (5) months (exclusive of July and August).

Full-Time employees promoted to a higher full-time position shall be placed on probation for a period of fifty (50) working days.

On Call Pay - An employee called in for work outside their regularly scheduled work shall receive a minimum payment equivalent to two (2) hours at their regular hourly rate (decreased from three (3) hours).

Overtime - Overtime will be paid for work over forty (40) hours during the week.

The Council seemed pleased with the contract and no discussion of substantial matter was held on the issue.

VOTE: Gouveia was absent; all others, aye; motion duly carried.

ITEM #4 Consider and Approve a Transfer of Funds in the Amount of \$662 from Property/Casualty Insurance - Education Acct. #001-8040-800-8260 to Part Time Wages Acct. #001-1620-100-1350 - Personnel

Motion was made by Mrs. Duryea, seconded by Mr. Rys.

VOTE: Gouveia was absent; Killen and Zandri, no; all others, aye; motion duly carried.

Motion was made by Mrs. Duryea to Move Agenda Items #24 & 25 Up to the Next Order of Business, seconded by Mr. Rys.

VOTE: Gouveia was absent; all others, aye; motion duly carried.

ITEM #24 Consider and Approve Tax Refunds (#381-388) in the Amount of \$1,898.05 - Tax Collector

Motion was made by Mrs. Duryea, seconded by Mr. Knight.

VOTE: Gouveia was absent; all others, aye; motion duly carried.

ITEM #25 Consider and Approve a Transfer of Funds in the Amount of \$164,715.10 from the Grand List to the Suspense Tax Book to Comply with State Statute 12-165 - Tax Collector

Motion was made by Mrs. Duryea, seconded by Mr. Knight.

Mr. Zandri asked, how is this action governed by State Statute?

Norman Rosow, Tax Collector responded, the Statute requires that he obtain the permission of the Town Council to move the information to the suspense list.

Mr. Zandri asked, are these all uncollectible accounts?

Mr. Rosow responded, these are taxes that are delinquent that the Town has pursued payment on via all the options available to the Town for being so. Most of the names listed on the personal property delinquent list are out of business. With regards to the Motor Vehicle Delinquent Taxes list, most of the individuals on the list have not re-registered their vehicles. Most of them have either moved out of State, passed away or simply disappeared. They will remain on the list for a period of fifteen years or until they pay, whichever comes first. The amount of money collected by the Town through the month of May on this item totals in excess of \$76,000.

Mr. Zandri asked if the State has changed its system so that individuals can be located by their Social Security number?

Mr. Rosow responded, the Tax Collector's Association has been pursuing that issue. There are some legal matters that delayed the implementation of that program to date. They have been successful in at least obtaining date of birth information so as to help with the process of verifying the identification of someone who is listed as delinquent.

Mr. Killen pointed out that recently the Motor Vehicle Department has begun asking for motorists' Social Security number upon registering or renewing their registration of their vehicles. It is voluntary and not mandatory but it seems they are making headway in that area.

Mr. Knight warned that we have to be careful that we don't make it too convenient for government to do too good a job of checking up on you. Yes, the Town wants the money and it is due it and we want to find a convenient means of obtaining it, however, we have to be careful we are not selling ourselves to the point that we have created a "big brother". At some point in the future we will lose our freedom - not because someone has taken it from us but because we will have given it away and we will do it by making it too easy for the State to come after us. It may be for something legitimate like motor vehicle taxes but someday it may be for something that you do not want them to know.

He asked, with regards to the 1992 Motor Vehicle Delinquent Tax List, do you see that as much of an increase over previous years?

Mr. Rosow responded, the figure for 1992 Motor Vehicles is an across the board figure. This year we are putting into suspense \$105,000. It basically is the same for 1993. There is a difference of approximately \$5,000+- than last year's total figure.

June 13, 1995

Thomas Myers, Comptroller, assured the Council that every effort will be made to collect what is due the Town. On July 1st the Town intends to implement a new program. We currently have a bid out for a collection agency that will take the accounts and search through computer systems for the individuals responsible for them. State Statute allows the municipality to charge a fifteen percent (15%) collection fee. If the agency is successful in locating an individual that person will not only have eighteen percent (18%) interest on the bill but also a collection fee of fifteen percent (15%).

VOTE: Gouveia was absent; all others, aye; motion duly carried.

ITEM #5 Consider and Approve a Resolution Establishing the Board of Education as the Building Committee for the VoAg Greenhouse, Storage Building and Equipment Project - Superintendent of Schools

Motion was made by Mrs. Duryea, seconded by Mr. Knight.

Mrs. Duryea read the resolution, in its entirety, into the record (Appendix I).

Mr. Killen asked if the Board intends to employ a Clerk of the Works?

Dr. Cirasuolo, Superintendent of Schools, responded, this is a fairly small project and the first thing to determine is whether or not our Supervisor of Buildings and Grounds could handle that responsibility, if not, the Board will seek additional help. The Council will receive a report on any change made with regards to Mr. Killen's inquiry.

Mr. Knight asked if this project can be incorporated, to any degree, into the VoAg program itself? With some of the students being members of this committee perhaps they can experience, first hand, how the project evolves from start to completion.

Dr. Cirasuolo stated, that aspect had never occurred to him. It was an excellent suggestion and he will pass it along for input. Some of the students may be considered for appointment by the Council to the committee or they may sit as an advisory committee on the project.

Mr. Zappala stated, there currently exists a building committee, consisting of eight members, who are very capable people who are willing to serve Wallingford with regards to building projects. He was not volunteering their services but reminded Dr. Cirasuolo they are in existence should the Board need their assistance.

It is noted that whether or not the Board of Education would continue as the building committee is a decision that the Council would make. This proposal is being made tonight for the purpose of having the paperwork completed and filed by June 30th.

June 13, 1995

Dr. Cirasuolo explained, the Council approved the filing of the application for this grant at its last meeting, however, when the Board proceeded to submit the application it was discovered that the State Department of Education requires additional action be taken prior to accepting the application, namely the forming of the building committee and the passing of a resolution appointing such committee.

VOTE: Gouveia was absent; all others, aye; motion duly carried.

ITEM #6 Report Out on the Resource Recovery Project Coordinator on the Subject of Solid Waste Disposal

Motion was made by Mrs. Duryea to Hear the Report, seconded by Mr. Killen.

Philip Hamel, Resource Recovery Project Coordinator, David Chrzanowski, Wallingford Project Manager, Jim Klemes, Facility Manager for Ogden Projects and Christopher Recchia, Director of Environmental Projects for CRRA (CT. Resource Recovery Authority) were all present for this report.

Mr. Hamel began his report with a brief summary of the history of the plant then proceeded to move into a more detailed presentation on throughput (amount of solid waste processed by the plant on a yearly basis), energy sales, testing of ash, air & water, and emissions.

The plant, on average, has processed more than 130,000 tons per year of solid waste. That number will decrease slightly this year due to planned and unplanned maintenance work to be performed.

The facility is expected to produce more than 52 million KWH of electricity this year which will be sold to CL&P (CT. Light and Power Co.). The plant purchases electricity from the Wallingford Electric Division during maintenance of the Waste-to-Energy Plant.

Ash from the plant is tested monthly for burn-out as required by the CRRA-Ogden Service Contract. New EPA (Environmental Protection Agency) regulations require that the ash be tested only once, then again if there is any change in the processing methods at the plant. As a practical matter, ash will probably be tested once a year to meet requirements of the landfill.

Air testing is performed continuously by continuous emission monitors (CEM) and that data is telemetered to DEP (Department of Environmental Protection) continuously. The CEM is checked quarterly to meet EPA regulations and all air testing results are sent to DEP. An annual stack test is performed by DEP for dioxins and metals.

Water discharged to the Wallingford sewage treatment plant is tested monthly for pH and residual chlorine and a monthly report on the test results is forwarded to DEP.

Emissions have not exceeded limits defined in the DEP enforcement protocol for two years, a factor which helped to win the facility the Ogden environmental award for calendar years 1994 and 1995.

June 13, 1995

With regards to public relations, CRRA and Ogden met regularly with residents to discuss complaints. A number of corrective actions were taken to reduce noise and there have been no resident complaints about plant operations that they have been made aware of for more than a year. Any traffic problems are handled directly with haulers.

Mr. Hamel reported out on the fire which occurred at the plant on March 9th which resulted in no injuries or structural plant damage. The fire, it was determined, started in the pit and the company is reviewing modifications to the facility such as a manual roof vent and revised access to water cannons in the hopes of diminishing the likelihood of a similar situation reoccurring.

On the subject of the landfill it was reported that the ash disposal area of the landfill is nearly full and CRRA expects to close it during the next few months. CRRA has issued an RFP (request for proposal) for out-of-state ash disposal and is currently negotiating with a vendor for the service. They expect to begin shipping ash to a landfill in Virginia by rail within the next month or two. CRRA plans to maintain a small reserve area of the landfill to bury or store solid waste during the times when the plant could not accept the waste.

There is a lawsuit pending regarding a leachate plume just to the south of the landfill and CRRA is investigating the placement of a leachate containment wall along the southern boundary of the landfill. The construction and placement of the wall may be delayed until said lawsuit is resolved. Members of the Wallingford legislative delegation introduced legislation that would provide for State payments of the cost of the wall, however, such legislation was never passed.

With regards to a bulky waste sorting and processing area, CRRA is evaluating plans to construct and operate such an area and transfer station at the landfill. The area has been approved by the Town Planning and Zoning Commission along with DEP. If built, it will consist mainly of a concrete slab to accept the waste which will be sorted. Combustible material will be sent on to the plant while other waste will be loaded into roll-off containers and shipped to a landfill for disposal. CRRA has requested that the Policy Board decide at its next meeting in July whether to proceed with the project.

Mr. Solinsky asked, have the tests results from previous years differed much from the most recent ones?

Chris Recchia responded, the ash has consistently tested non-hazardous each time it was tested quarterly by DEP from the time the plant first started operating until last July.

Mr. Gouveia asked Mr. Hamel to make his notes available to the Council since they contained a great deal of information which is difficult to absorb all at once.

Mr. Hamel agreed to make copies and forward them to the Council.

June 13, 1995

Mr. Gouveia asked, do the new EPA regulations supersede the contract the Town has with Ogden or has the contract been amended?

Mr. Hamel responded, the contract that CRRA has with Ogden for the monthly ash test (there is also a sampling protocol in that contract) will continue.

Mr. Gouveia asked if they continue to take the daily ash samplings and combine them for the month and test it?

Mr. Recchia answered, yes. The only thing that has changed since the EPA test requirement was the State of CT. is no longer conducting the test. The service agreement and the testing performed by CRRA according to that service agreement goes unchanged.

Mr. Gouveia asked, how much is the electricity being sold for?

Mr. Hamel responded, the current revenue is somewhere around \$.12 per kwh average.

Mr. Gouveia stated that our Electric Division purchases electricity for approximately \$.04 per kwh. He asked if CRRA is going to build the leachate wall?

Mr. Hamel answered, he does not think that the decision will be made to do so until the court case has been resolved.

Mr. Recchia stated, from CRRA's standpoint they are still monitoring the leachate and ground water on both sides of the landfill on a monthly basis. Some preliminary borings were performed along the property boundary to determine what the wall would consist of, but they have not yet gotten into details and design work.

Mr. Gouveia asked, is the Town safeguarded against any possible lawsuit which may stem from that leachate?

Mr. Recchia responded, from an environmental standpoint this is a problem that existed at the same time that CRRA was involved in this and since CRRA is stepping up to the plate to try and assess this, legally, he is not sure.

Mr. Gouveia stated, if the possibility exists then CRRA owes it to the people of Wallingford to build that wall so that the adjacent land would not be contaminated, subjecting the Town to lawsuits and financial damages.

Mr. Hamel stated, something will have to be done about that problem but it could be that CRRA will acquire the water rights or they will acquire the land. In terms of the Town being insulated, the Town is a party to the lawsuit and we will most likely be sued no matter what but under the terms of the contract CRRA is liable for their actions. If it can be proven that it was something that the Town did before CRRA took over, the Town would have some liability as well. It should also be noted that CRRA's liability is borne by the five towns. CRRA does not have an independent pot of money. Wallingford would probably

June 13, 1995

be liable that, as part of the tip fee, about twenty percent (20%) of any damages or settlement as just part of the project cost.

Mr. Gouveia pointed out that the Town had to pay quite a bit of money out for the cost of providing firefighter protection during the fire. Some of the Councilors, at a previous meeting, had suggested asking CRRA if they would, in turn, ask the other four members (towns) of the project group if they would help defray some of that cost. Did anyone pass that request along to CRRA?

Mr. Hamel was not aware of the request and stated that there is a Policy Board meeting coming up in July and perhaps the Mayor intends to raise that question then.

Mr. Zandri stated that the legislation that failed to receive approval in the past, with regards to the State funding of the wall, should be re-submitted. The State sold this project to the Town by telling the Town that the ash would not leach and there would be no problem. If they are the ones who sold the idea to the Town under that pretense then they should pay for correcting the problem that has developed. He asked for a description of the air testing procedure.

Mr. Klemes, Ogden Plant Superintendent responded, the facility is equipped with a series of analyzers along the combustion train which pull air from different parts of the train to specific parts, one before the air pollution control system and one prior to the exit of the stack. As the air is extracted it is sent through an analyzer which will measure the amount of sulfur dioxide, nitrous oxide and a capacity monitor that will give us a surrogate monitor for what the particulate or what the smoke looks like. That is continuously monitored, on at all times and telemetered to the State. On a quarterly basis a series of tests are run to ensure that it is operating correctly. This week a relative accuracy testing audit was being performed by an outside testing outfit who brought in their own analyzers that are measured back to national standards. We compare our analyzers or equipment to the tested analyzers to ensure that the equipment is running right also. We also have the State performing the stack testing this week. He invited any interested Councilors who wished to view the process to the plant.

Mr. Zandri asked, are you testing for any type of potential hazardous chemicals leaving the stack?

Mr. Klemes responded, the analyzers measure sulfur dioxide, nitrous oxide, carbon monoxide and, because of the combustion process, monitor oxygen as it is going out and carbon dioxide. All of these results are corrected to twelve percent (12%) carbon dioxide.

Mr. Zandri asked, another means of testing is the burner, correct?

Mr. Klemes stated, we have an auxiliary burner that ensures that we maintain certain temperatures throughout the combustion train.

Mr. Zandri stated, the ash landfill will be filled in a few months. How is the cost of shipping the ash out of state going to effect the

June 13, 1995

tipping fees?

Mr. Hamel responded, there was a \$1.00 increase in the tipping fee for fiscal year 1996 and the cost for shipping ash out of state for the whole year was built into that budget. The projections at this time are that there will be a gradual rise in the tip fee but unless there is something that unexpectedly happens....projections are just that, projections. The best projections we can get right now is that the tip fee is going to rise very gradually and there are not going to be any big surprises unless there are new environmental regulations or something that we don't anticipate happens.

Mr. Zandri stated, another thing that the State promised us along with this wonderful project was that they were going to open up ash landfills in the State so that our tipping fees would not go up. How do we stand with those promises?

Mr. Hamel responded, CRRA has reported to the Policy Board that it is negotiating with a property owner for acquisition of land on which they can build an ash landfill. They also advised the Policy Board that the regulations in the State are so strict for landfills and for ash landfills that the cost is extraordinary compared to other states. The tip fees at the Preston project have approached \$100 per ton. CRRA attributed that high cost partially to the cost of having the state-of-the-art permitted State of Connecticut landfill, according to the Vice President of CRRA, Paul Guidone. They are not certain how competitive the development of a landfill will be as opposed to shipping ash out of state. They are still looking into it.

Mr. Zandri stated, he is sure that the cost for out of State disposal is going to increase over time as well as landfills become scarce in other states as well. That will be a potential problem down the road.

Mr. Zappala asked Mr. Recchia, how do you explain the toxic smell and the dark smoke being discharged from the plant on occasion? Is it a hazard to the residents in the area?

Mr. Klemes responded, periodically there may be a puff of smoke coming through the combustion train. At times if we have a turbine trip it closes the valve for the outlet of the steam which will create a momentary problem within the facility. The smoke backs up in the system. That would be the only time something like that is seen. As far as dark smoke being emitted from the stack, it would not be dark smoke because it would have gone through the bag house and...

Mr. Zappala stated, the smoke is very, very dark...it is black. He has been working in the area for twenty-six years and has seen it many times.

Mr. Klemes stated, if anything, it will be momentarily and will be carried away to disperse and corrected pretty quick as far as getting the plant back online with the operators. When the combustors are brought back online from a planned outage it is done so through a

June 13, 1995

series of steps. The auxiliary burner is used to warm the combustor up and then to introduce municipal solid waste into the combustion process. It is possible that Mr. Zappala witnessed one of those events. He encouraged Mr. Zappala to call him at the plant should Mr. Zappala witness one of those incidents again.

Mr. Zappala stated, if it is after the fact there is nothing you can do about it, he was concerned about what hazardous conditions the public is being subjected to.

Mr. Klemes responded, again, if it is when the facility is being brought back online then there is not going to be any municipal waste inside the combustor. If it is a trip of the turbine or a problem associated with air not being pulled through the combustors, it is a different matter. The air will not be toxic because it, at that point, has been combusted. It would not be filtered through the combustion process...the same as if you lost the draft in the chimney to your fireplace at home, you will sometimes experience a puff of smoke which will come down the flue and into the room.

Chris Recchia added, if you are seeing black smoke it is most likely carbon due to the auxiliary fuel starting up. You will see that whenever a building starts its furnace, etc. The smoke you see coming out of the stack should be white which is primarily water vapor that condenses. If you look at the very top of the stack it is clear where it comes out, it should not have any color to it at all. Then as the water vapor condenses you will see the white plume. If you do witness a black puff of smoke, note the time and place a call to the plant, whether it is immediately following the incident or hours later in the day. Once given the time of the incident Mr. Klemens can then check his continuous emission monitoring results to see what the cause was.

Mr. Klemes stated, any air that has gone through the stack has already gone through the air pollution control system's baghouse and the reactor. What it could be is smoke from a diesel truck starting.

Mr. Zappala made it clear that the smoke is coming from the stack and not simply a diesel engine.

Mr. Knight asked, of the 130,000 tons per year of municipal waste processed, what does that result in tonnage-wise for ash?

Mr. Hamel responded, approximately 41,000-42,000 tons per year.

Mr. Knight asked, you will be shipping this by rail to Virginia? What is the process going to be from the plant...are you going to have a siting built to blow this ash into a hopper car?

Mr. Hamel responded, the negotiations, as they currently stand, is that a company will pick up the ash in a steeled, aluminum containers...the bottom is steel but the lids are aluminum...and will be collected on a roll-off type of truck. They will then be brought to the intermoto rail yard in North Haven and then transferred by crane right onto rail cars and transported to Virginia via New York State. Five or six containers will leave the plant each day with each

June 13, 1995

having a capacity of 25 tons.

Mr. Zandri stated, with regards to the price that the plant is selling the electricity for (\$.12 kwh), that is high and that is the State's way of camouflaging the true cost of trash disposal by forcing the utilities to pay higher costs to buy the electricity.

Mr. Gouveia stated, early on there were some citations by D.E.P. concerning the water discharge, the toxicity of it. Have there been any other citations since that time, he believed it to be 1991?

Klemes responded, it has been over 650 days since an air event was experienced and well over 750 days for a water event. The facility has not had difficulty with any of the environmental compliance items.

Mr. Gouveia asked, have any fines been levied against any of the haulers for violating any safety regulations or bringing in any hazardous waste or waste that cannot be processed?

Mr. Hamel responded, typically the fines are levied by CRRA although Ogden does have a reloading charge. If a hauler brings in a load of waste that is not appropriate, Ogden will put it back in the truck and will charge the hauler a reloading charge of \$100-200. The larger fines are imposed by CRRA.

David Chrzanowski responded, CRRA has found that the best way to deal with a hauler on many of these situations is..especially tipping certain types of waste on the tip floor..is to, rather than fine them, make the hauler come back, reload the vehicle and take the waste away. By doing that you are tying up the hauler a lot more than you would be than if you were just fining them. The intrinsic cost to the hauler is greater. When that technique is used you find that compliance is much greater than if a monetary fine was imposed.

Mr. Gouveia asked, how many times has an incident occurred, how often?

Chrzanowski responded, over the past couple of years, it may have happened three or four times. Not only do we try to work very hard with the constituents of the area but also with the hauling population of the area.

Mr. Gouveia stated that he is not concerned with the local haulers for they care about the town in which they live. He did, however, follow a truck recently from I-95 into the plant. It was leaking some sort of liquid from the back of the truck which could have been dirty, oil contaminated water, or it could have been tainted with hazardous liquids. There was no way of determining what was being spilled out on the roadway from I-95 to the plant.

Mr. Chrzanowski responded, the vast majority of the waste received is from normal customers. You will not find much of a difference in the material if they are coming from New Haven or Wallingford, Hamden or Meriden.

June 13, 1995

Mr. Chrzanowski reiterated Mr. Klemens remarks asking that a call be placed to the plant should anyone witness something that may be of concern to them. It is better to investigate it then instead of waiting months later.

Mr. Gouveia responded that he did follow the truck into the plant and report the incident to a supervisor at the time.

Frank Wasilewski, 57 N. Orchard Street stated, it was a while ago when he asked the Mayor about the leaching from the ash and who was responsible for that and at that time the Mayor responded that it was not the Town's responsibility. He is now hearing tonight that some of it is our responsibility. Now we are being told that we should be building a retaining wall thirty-eight feet (38') deep. How is this going to stop any leaching from going anywhere? Will it stop when it hits the wall or seep into an aquifer that may be there underground? The answer is not to build a wall. There should have been some kind of containment before the ash was dumped. Originally the ash was supposed to go to Meriden who was paid to accept it but did not receive any of it. Instead, we got it all (ash) and did not receive the money that went to Meriden and then to sell electricity for more than what it costs a utility to manufacture is ridiculous. The ratepayers have to make up the difference. The people of Wallingford are getting stuck on this deal.

Mr. Solinsky thanked everyone for their input.

PUBLIC QUESTION AND ANSWER PERIOD

Frank Wasilewski, 57 North Orchard Street stated, he was not sure if the Council is aware of this for he notified the P.U.C. and the Mayor's Office and finally, today, the problem was taken care of. To him, it was a serious problem. There was a water leak on S. Whittlesey Avenue that was running day and night from March 9th until today. The problem was that the leak was on the property side of the shut off and no one was going to fix it. In the meantime that water was running twenty-four hours (24) a day into the storm sewer which we treat at our plant. If there is a leak such as that we should not let it run five (5) weeks day and night. Water is too important to do so.

Mr. Gouveia stated that all of the Councilors received a letter from State Representative Mary Fritz indicating the total amount of State aid to the Town after the budget was adopted in Hartford. What is the difference between what they finally approved in Hartford and what the Town adopted in its budget for State aid?

Thomas Myers, Comptroller could not answer that question without consulting files. He will make an analysis and forward a response to Mr. Gouveia or have it available at the next Council meeting. He has seen different figures and is not sure what is and what is not included in those figures. Some of the money we receive from the State is federal pass through grants. Some of those grants are restricted and accounted for separately and have special covenants on them. He will analyze the figures, make a comparison and forward the

information to the Council.

Motion was made by Mrs. Duryea to Consider the Following Agenda Items for One Vote:

ITEM #11 Consider and Approve a Transfer of Funds in the Amount of \$499 from Paramedic Bonus Acct. #2030-100-1680 to Acting Officer Pay Acct. #2030-100-1360 - Dept. of Fire Services

ITEM #12 Consider and Approve a Transfer of Funds in the Amount of \$440 from Building Supplies Acct. #2030-400-4260 to Laundry Acct. #2030-400-4280 - Dept. of Fire Services

ITEM #13 Consider and Approve a Transfer of Funds in the Amount of \$240 from Building Supplies Acct. #2030-400-4260 to Ambulance Supplies Acct. #2030-400-4700 - Dept. of Fire Services

ITEM #14 Consider and Approve a Transfer of Funds in the Amount of \$215 from State Meetings Acct. #2030-600-6550 to Maintenance of Equipment Acct. #2030-500-5200 - Dept. of Fire Services

ITEM #15 Consider and Approve a Transfer of Funds in the Amount of \$4,071 from Continuous Duty Pay Acct. #2030-100-1450 to Overtime Acct. #2030-100-1400 - Dept. of Fire Services

ITEM #16 Consider and Approve a Transfer of Funds in the Amount of \$2,800 from Maintenance of Building Acct. #2030-500-5100 to Telephone Acct. #2030-200-2000 - Dept. of Fire Services

ITEM #17 Consider and Approve a Transfer of Funds in the Amount of \$1,000 from Self-Insurance Claims Acct. #001-8040-800-8280 to Office Supplies Acct. #001-1320-400-4000 - Department of Law

ITEM #22 Note for the Record Mayoral Transfers Approved to Date

ITEM #23 Note for the Record Anniversary Increases Approved by the Mayor

Seconded by Mr. Rys.

VOTE ON CONSIDERING THE ITEMS WITH ONE VOTE: All ayes; motion duly carried.

Motion was made by Mrs. Duryea to Approve Items #11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 22 & 23, seconded by Mr. Rys.

VOTE: Killen and Zandri, no; all others, aye; motion duly carried.

ITEM #7 Consider and Approve a Transfer of Funds in the Amount of \$65 from Clerk's Wages Acct. #012-9000-100-1300 to Overtime Acct. #012-9000-100-1400 - Youth Service Bureau

Motion was made by Mrs. Duryea, seconded by Mr. Knight.

Due to the timetable of the Job Training Partnership Act Program, it

June 13, 1995

was necessary for the Youth Service Bureau clerk typist II to provide support services for the application process, resulting in four hours of overtime on May 9 and May 16, 1995.

Mr. Killen asked, there has not been an Overtime account in the department budget over the last few years, has there been one in the past?

Susan McLaughlin, YSB Director responded, in years past there has been, but not recently. This was an unusual situation. We had no other option for we were dealing with a federal program and we were not certain whether or not the money was going to come through. We were told that regardless, we would still have to go through with the application process for the program even though we had no staff in place. In meeting with Don Roe, Program Planner and the Mayor, the agreement was made to come up with personnel who could help us with the process.

Mr. Killen did not want to see an Overtime account established in the department simply because of this unique situation.

VOTE: Killen and Zandri, no; all others, aye; motion duly carried.

ITEM #9 Consider and Approve a Transfer of Funds in the Amount of \$7,000 from Overtime - Snow Acct. #001-5040-100-1400 and \$5,000 from Wages - Central Garage Acct. #001-5050-100-1300 for a Total of \$12,000 to Waste Disposal & Composting Fees Acct. #001-5060-600-6540 - Public Works

Motion was made by Mrs. Duryea, seconded by Mr. Knight.

Mr. Knight asked, how much do we budget each year to cover the waste disposal brought to the landfill by senior citizens program?

Henry McCully, Director of Public Works responded, approximately \$85,000. The previous year we had gone to a private contractor at the landfill and we budgeted funds which we guessed the estimate amount for residual coupons, etc. We had a lot of excess funds so we tailored it down to as low as we could because we did not have any history on record to go by. We need the additional \$12,000 to get us through this year. He added \$10,000 in next year's budget which should be enough. The seniors are allowed two coupons per week.

VOTE: Killen and Zandri, no; all others, aye; motion duly carried.

ITEM #10 Consider and Approve a Transfer of Funds in the Amount of \$6,250 from Sand Acct. #001-5040-400-4580 to Street Lighting Acct. #001-5080-600-6460 - Public Works

Motion was made by Mrs. Duryea, seconded by Mr. Knight.

VOTE: Killen and Zandri, no; all others, aye; motion duly carried.

RULE V Motion was made by Mrs. Duryea to Waive Rule V of the Town Council Meeting Procedures for the Purpose of Considering a Transfer

June 13, 1995

for the Public Works Department, seconded by Mr. Killen.

VOTE: All ayes; motion duly carried.

Motion was made by Mrs. Duryea to Consider and Approve a Transfer of Funds in the Amount of \$2,700 from Wages, Central Garage Acct. #001-5050-100-1300 to Maintenance of Building Acct. #001-5140-500-5100, seconded by Mr. Rys.

This transfer is being requested for the purpose of upgrading the current 200 Amp service to 400 Amp at the Visiting Nurse Association. The panel inside the office is overheating due to the load on the system of a 5 ton air conditioner outside of the building which cools the VNA office. The panel is located in a closet and poses a fire hazard during the warm days.

A recommendation was made by the electrical inspector to bring the panel up to a 400 Amp service and bring the meter outside.

Mr. Zandri asked if the Electric Division placed a meter on the panel to see how much it is drawing?

Mr. McCully responded, Charles Genovese, Electrical Inspector is well aware of the problem.

Mr. Zandri stated, no panel should be overheating if the circuit breakers are connected right. The main breaker should trip if the load is too much. He could not understand what could be drawing such a heavy load on the system. The air conditioner should draw some load but what he could not understand what was being used in the office to add to the load to cause the panel to become hot.

Mr. McCully responded, the office has computer equipment, toasters, small electrical items, etc.

Mr. Gouveia asked, are there any negotiations with the Town for a purchase of the building?

Mr. McCully responded, not with him and as far as he knows, no.

Mr. Gouveia asked, are the Visiting Nurses in negotiations with the Town to purchase the building?

Mayor Dickinson responded, not at the current time, no, we have not put it up for sale.

Mr. Solinsky stated, the VNA has expressed interest in the building.

Mr. Gouveia was aware of that information and wanted to know if any formal negotiations had taken place? If their intention is to buy the building then they are to buy it as it is and not expect the Town to make improvements to it before hand. If so, then the cost of such improvements should be settled at the time the building is sold.

Mr. McCully stated that it was a hazardous situation of which the Town

June 13, 1995

was responsible for correcting.

VOTE: Killen, Rys, Zandri and Zappala, no; all others, aye; motion duly carried.

Mr. McCully took the opportunity to update the Council on the status of the interview process of the architects for Fairfield Blvd. (recreation center). Tomorrow the interview process will be completed and hopefully within the next few weeks we will have an architect on board.

RULE V Motion was made by Mrs. Duryea to Waive Rule V of the Town Council Meeting Procedures for the Purpose of Considering a Transfer for the Engineering Department, seconded by Mr. Knight.

VOTE: Papale was absent; all others, aye; motion duly carried.

Motion was made by Mrs. Duryea to Approve a Transfer of Funds in the Amount of \$1,700 from Asst. Personnel Director Acct. #1590-100-1200 to Personal Computer Acct. #001-5010-999-9901, seconded by Mr. Knight.

Joel Cassista, Construction Inspector explained that the computer monitor in the Engineering Department burned out recently and several computer components have failed to operate. The work stations are used for all office functions such as correspondence, accounting, specifications, ADA (American Disabilities Act) handicapped ramps construction, sidewalk construction, etc. In addition to performing engineering calculations for highway horizontal and vertical geometry, over the years the department has placed most of the files and records in the computer. Those files include a listing of all survey data and records from the early 1900's to date; a listing of all drawings on file in the department, some 8,000 entries; a listing of all excavation permits from 1977 to date; State Aid roadway information that is transmitted to CT. D.O.T. annually, etc., etc.

The computer also acted as a server which controlled and operated two other stations and all printing operations. Since this piece of equipment is vital to the efficiency of the office operation, the department is requesting a transfer in the amount of \$1,700 to purchase a new computer and accessories. A service technician was called in to assess the cost of repairing the system. The estimate for such service was \$1,100., almost the price of purchasing a new computer. The technician also informed Mr. Cassista that if a computer expires, such as his, in the first eighteen months of use then the chances are that it will continue to break down if repaired.

Upon calling three dealers to obtain prices for a computer that was comparable in capacity and capability, it was discovered that for a cost of \$1,700 a new computer and accessories could be purchased.

VOTE: Killen and Zandri, no; all others, aye; motion duly carried.

The Chair declared a five minute recess at this time.

ITEM #18 Consider and Approve an Appropriation of Funds in the Amount of \$4,975 Increasing Interest Income Acct. #419-1 and Increasing Interest Expense Customers Deposits Acct. #431 - Electric Division

Motion was made by Mrs. Duryea, seconded by Mr. Rys.

Mr. Knight asked, what interest rate is the Town making for all the money that is being held in escrow?

Thomas Sullivan, Office Manager of the Electric Division responded, the rate varies from 4.2% at the beginning of the year to just under right now. We are paying out 4.2% to the customers on their deposits.

VOTE: Killen and Zandri, no; all others, aye; motion duly carried.

ITEM #19 Consider and Approve a Transfer of Funds in the Amount of \$372,445.00 from Purchased Power Acct. #555 to Generation Study Expense Acct. #426-1 (New Account) - Electric Division

Motion was made by Mrs. Duryea, seconded by Mr. Rys.

William Cominos, General Manager of the Electric Division explained, Ordinance #387 was adopted on May 9, 1990 for the purpose of putting new generation in place and in 1991 the Council decided not to go forward with that plan. The Electric Division then sought other alternatives and during that interim period expended in the neighborhood of \$372,000 for plans and specifications and for looking for alternative sources. That money has already been expended and now it has to be charged out. Thomas Sullivan, Office Manager of the Electric Division checked with the accountants for the Town and they suggested that it be charged out in this current fiscal year's budget rather than carry it over to the new budget. With the new CMEEC contract and the excess funds in the Purchased Power account, the division felt it was prudent to charge it against that account.

. Killen stated, in reading the letter the accountants seem to be saying that there is no answer to the problem and the solution they are offering is better than no solution at all. When the project was abandoned a motion should have been brought forth at that time and it should have been charged to that fiscal year.

Mr. Sullivan explained, some of that turning point may have been the decision on the new contract. That is why we are looking at it this year.

Mr. Killen stated that he was not happy with the way this was being handled.

Mr. Zandri asked, why are we electing to take this "hit" to the account all in one year instead of spreading it out over a five or six year period?

Mr. Sullivan responded, the final decision was made in this fiscal year and, relative to the budget, these are not substantial dollars.

June 13, 1995

Mr. Zandri stated, if you take an expense hit like this in a given year it gives a false idea of what you are doing as a business.

Mr. Sullivan agreed with Mr. Zandri, adding that is specifically why they have decided to book it against the non-operating expense so it would not distort the operating expense.

Mr. Zandri responded, it is still an expense for the year and it will still draw down on the profit if there was a net profit or it will increase the deficit in one given year versus spreading it out over several years.

Mayor Dickinson responded, this is not something that can depreciate over several years. It would be inappropriate to assign the expense over several years when it is not a capital....

Thomas Myers, Comptroller added, the Mayor is correct. The accounting principle is, had the generation project gone forward these costs for the study would have been capitalized and depreciated over the life of the new generation facility. It would have been subject to depreciation and written off over a twenty, twenty-five or thirty year period, depending on the life of the generation facility, itself. The P.U.C.'s decision to abandon the project leaves the community with the question of how to account for the costs that were spent to study what should be done for generation. The costs, themselves, are not subject to depreciation because they are not an asset. They are soft dollar expenses. They are not an operating expense because they are not a typical recurring expense that would occur in operating the utility. They represent a type of extraordinary expense. By treating them as another expense they will not distort operating income which is our main measure of the performance of the utility. They will fall down into the line Net Income and in effect reduce any income or increasing a loss for that one particular year.

Mr. Killen referred to correspondence dated June 7, 1995 from Raymond F. Smith, Director of Public Utilities which states that the "P.U.C. pass a resolution recommending that the Town Council close out funding ordinance #387 since it will not be utilized for its intended purpose." He asked why that resolution was not before the Council for action this evening along with the transfer?

Mr. Solinsky asked if the P.U.C. has passed such a resolution?

Mr. Myers responded, the P.U.C. has, in essence, approved the abandonment with the transfer of funds request.

Frank Wasilewski, 57 N. Orchard Street stated, somewhere along the line when the P.U.C. was going to borrow the \$400,000+ for this project that was rejected by the Council five years ago, why did they go on with this project? Now the P.U.C. wants to take the money out of the Purchase Power account. He did not think it should come from there.

Mr. Solinsky pointed out that when the ordinance was passed it began with design and engineering work and dollars were expended for those

services. Then the project was abandoned.

Mr. Wasilewski suggested that the item be tabled and Raymond Smith, Director of the P.U.C. be brought in for an explanation on the matter. We spent this money foolishly. We did not need an outside outfit to come in and tell us what we had to do with Pierce or what not to do with Pierce. Bill Cominos and Ray Smith are intelligent enough to be able to plan to save this plant if that is what the Town wants to do. It is, however, too late to save it now. The money should come from the poles and transformers account. Those projects could be extended a little longer. This money belongs to the ratepayers that you are asking to pay for a project that is going down the drain. It should not come from the Purchase Power account.

Mayor Dickinson stated, when the Council dealt with the initial plan concerning the gas turbines, one of the criticisms was that it was too small a unit and there was a desire to use a larger unit and, as a result, further work was done on the project for a significant time afterwards. During that time the economy changed, the outlook in terms of power changed and suddenly power was plentiful. The overtures we were getting from CL&P and CMEEC and others completely changed. To produce your own power then became an economical disadvantage and it completely changed the whole complexion of things.

Mr. Wasilewski stated, we could never have generated enough electricity from the Pierce Plant to have supplied all of Wallingford. We would still have had to buy electricity and it still would have cost us more to generate our own electricity then to buy it. That has been proven with the contract we have now with CMEEC. That statement was made that night when the Council rejected the turbine.

Mr. Gouveia stated that he was bothered by the easiness/ability to remove \$372,000 from the operating budget of the utility when only one month ago (budget workshops) some Councilors tried to reduce the operating budget by \$118,000 and they were told that the utility could not live without that money. Now, magically, the utility now has \$372,000 just like that...the ability to remove these funds at will. Time after time we are not willing to scrutinize these budgets. Over the past five years \$206 million was requested by the utility and \$206 million was approved, then you have the ability to have these funds to move. Why did we spend \$372,000 on this study? Because the money was there.

Mr. Killen stated that he feels the Town made a mistake by going with the CMEEC contract. If anyone reads the minutes of the Council meeting when the presentation was made that night to see what claims were made, what the Council was told that night and what it is actually going to cost us they will find out that this is not a bed of roses and we are going to pay for it in the long run.

Mr. Knight commented, the old contract we had with NU required that the Town maintain the Pierce Plant for standby capabilities. In the context of that requirement the Town investigated the possibility of replacing the Pierce Plant with a gas turbine generator.

June 13, 1995

Mr. Gouveia stated, that was not the spirit of the project at all. This was co-generation, it was a brand new project. We didn't do anything yet we still maintained our bargain within the contract with NU by not doing anything. We voted down the proposal.

Mr. Knight pointed out, we maintained Pierce's viability for the purpose of this contract. We have an exercise of crystal clear hindsight when at the time we made the decision to spend the money to examine the possibility of building co-generation, that at the time seemed to be the most logical decision given all the factors that the utilities had to work with. Subsequent to that the economy has gone south as well as most of our manufacturing plants and there is generating capacity all over the place. We were able to hammer out quite an attractive deal for ourselves with CMEEC with the help of the outfit which presently held the contract for supplying Wallingford with power. He didn't understand why the Council has to revisit what he thought was a decision that the Council and utilities made about a course of action and they stopped short when they discovered that there were other possibilities. We are arguing about scenarios that because of hindsight look like they may have been rash decisions but at the time they were quite logical.

Mr. Gouveia reiterated, he has been trying time after time to prove to the Council that the utilities' budget can and should be scrutinized and the monies are there. This is the proof tonight, that they can write off \$372,000 that easily.

Mr. Knight stated, when the Council approved the budget of the utility for F.Y. 1994-95 there was no way of knowing that the Town would have a CMEEC agreement which would provide the Town with low cost power before the end of the fiscal year. That is where the money is coming from.

Mr. Gouveia disagreed. He has been trying to demonstrate year after year that they make millions more than they project to make, not just \$372,000.

Mr. Knight stated, there is money left in the Purchase Power account because of the CMEEC contract. Mr. Gouveia has made a case for other years, however, we are talking about this \$372,000. tonight.

Mr. Gouveia added, if we didn't have a new contract the \$372,000 would still be available. Case in point...the utility projected a \$1,572,000 deficit and yet the deficit is only \$307,000. In ten months their deficit is \$642,000 less than anticipated. That is equal to twice the amount be requested this evening. Whether or not the CMEEC contract was obtained or not, the funds would still have been in their budget for their taking. There is plenty of fat in their budget.

Ms. Papale stated, it is a true fact that Mr. Smith was the one that was very much involved in the issue being discussed tonight so perhaps the Council would like to table this issue until Mr. Smith could be present to give them more of an insight if there are questions.

June 13, 1995

Mayor Dickinson stated, this is merely an accounting question. If it is not approved it is his understanding that it will be booked against the division's expenses by the auditors on an audit. It is a straight accounting question. It does not deal with the substance of whether it should have been spent or not or any of the philosophical issues that are debated. It is a matter of when is this properly expensed against the division's books? That is why it is here tonight.

Ms. Papale asked Mr. Sullivan, hasn't the money been spent? Doesn't it simply have to be reflected somewhere?

Mayor Dickinson responded, the money was authorized to be spent but it has never been expensed. It was a bond appropriation and the bonds were never sold so now it has to be expensed on the division's books.

VOTE: Rys was absent; Duryea, Killen and Zandri, no; all others, aye; motion duly carried.

ITEM #20 SET A PUBLIC HEARING for June 27, 1995 at 7:45 P.M. to Approve a List of Municipal Projects to be Submitted to the State of Connecticut Under the Neighborhood Assistance Program - Program Planner

Motion was made by Mrs. Duryea, seconded by Ms. Papale.

VOTE: All ayes; motion duly carried.

ITEM #21 Consider and Approve Merit Increases (5) Approved by the Mayor

Motion was made by Mrs. Duryea, seconded by Mr. Knight.

VOTE: All ayes; motion duly carried.

ITEM #26 Approve and Accept the Minutes of the 5/9/95 Town Council Meeting

Motion was made by Mrs. Duryea, seconded by Mr. Rys.

VOTE: Killen, no; all others, aye; motion duly carried.

ITEM #27 Approve and Accept the Minutes of the May 23, 1995 Town Council Meeting

Motion was made by Mrs. Duryea, seconded by Mr. Rys.

VOTE: Killen abstained; all others, aye; motion duly carried.

Motion was made by Mrs. Duryea to Adjourn the Meeting, seconded by Mr. Killen.

VOTE: All ayes; motion duly carried.

There being no further business, the meeting adjourned at 9:40 P.M.

June 13, 1995

Meeting recorded and transcribed by:

Kathryn F. Milano
Kathryn F. Milano
Town Council Secretary

Approved by:

Thomas D. Solinsky 7-11-95
Thomas D. Solinsky, Chairman

July 11, 1995
Date

Kathryn J. Wall
Kathryn J. Wall, Town Clerk

July 11, 1995
Date

Certified Resolution of the Town of Wallingford

WHEREAS, the Board of Education at its meeting on 5/15/95, approved the vo ag greenhouse, storage shed and equipment grant application,

BE IT RESOLVED that the Town Council

- (1) establishes the Board of Education as the building committee for the vo ag greenhouse, storage building and equipment project,
- (2) authorizes the preparation of schematic drawings and outline specifications for the vo ag greenhouse, storage building and equipment project; and
- (3) reaffirms its authorization for the superintendent to file an application for a school construction project in order to fund the vo ag greenhouse, storage building and equipment project.

Certified a true copy of a resolution duly adopted by the Town of Wallingford at a meeting of its Town Council on _____ 1995 and which has not been rescinded or modified in any way whatsoever.

Date

Town Clerk - Town of Wallingford